

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- BLACK BORDERS**
- IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- OTHER: _____**

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.

Amendment to the Claims

Listing of Claims:

Claim 1 (currently amended): A computer system providing an object-based environment, said computer system comprising: including

storage, a contiguous linear portion of which is logically divided into first and second heaps located at opposite ends of the storage portion, with any gap between the two heaps representing an unallocated region of storage, wherein references are permitted from objects on the first heap to objects on the second heap and vice versa, ~~said system comprising:~~

a garbage collector for operating across both heaps to remove objects that are no longer live; and

a processor configured means for expanding the first heap into said unallocated region according to a first expansion policy; ~~and means~~ and for expanding the second heap into said unallocated region according to a second expansion policy.

Claim 2 (currently amended): The computer system of claim 1, ~~wherein said computer system supports further comprising:~~

a transaction processing environment, ~~and wherein~~ said first heap is used for storing objects that are deleted at the end of the current transaction, and said second heap is used for storing objects that persist from one transaction to another.

Claim 3 (original): The computer system of claim 2, wherein the first heap is reset to the same predetermined initial size at the start of each transaction.

Claim 4 (currently amended): The computer system of claim 3, wherein the ~~system returns processor is structured to return~~ an error condition if the second heap has expanded such that it is not possible to reset the first heap to its predetermined initial size.

Claim 5 (currently amended): The computer system of claim 3, wherein a midpoint is defined halfway between the first heap and second heap, when they each heap has its ~~have their~~ initial size.

Claim 6 (original): The computer system of claim 5, wherein the first expansion policy is always to expand into said unallocated region in order to satisfy a storage request.

Claim 7 (original): The computer system of claim 6, in which the rate of expansion of the first heap into the unallocated region is slower once the first heap has passed said midpoint.

Claim 8 (original): The computer system of claim 5, wherein the second expansion policy is to expand into said unallocated region in order to satisfy a storage request until said midpoint is reached, whereupon said system preferentially performs a garbage collection to satisfy said request.

Claim 9 (original): The computer system of claim 8, wherein the second expansion policy further includes trying to shrink the first heap to allow room to expand said second heap in order to satisfy a storage request.

Claim 10 (original): The computer system of claim 1, wherein said garbage collector performs a compact operation after a garbage collection of the first and second heaps, said compact operation being performed in response to a first set of criteria relevant to the first heap, and a second set of criteria relevant to the second heap.

Claim 11 (currently amended): The computer system of claim 10, where said second set of criteria are more sensitive to fragmentation ~~fragmentation~~ than the first set of criteria.

Claim 12 (original): The computer system of claim 10, further including means for shrinking the first and second heaps after compaction, and returning released storage to said unallocated region.

Claim 13 (currently amended): The computer system of claim 1, further comprising:

including a bit array, having one bit for each possible object location in said portion of storage, said bit indicating whether or not there is an object currently stored at the corresponding object location.

Claim 14 (currently amended): A method of operating a computer system providing an object-based environment, said computer system including storage, a contiguous linear portion of which is logically divided into first and second heaps located at opposite ends of the storage portion, with any gap between the two heaps representing an unallocated region of storage, wherein references are permitted from objects on the first heap to objects on the second heap and vice versa, said method comprising the steps of:

operating a garbage collector across both heaps to remove objects that are no longer live;

expanding the first heap into said unallocated region according to a first expansion policy; and

for expanding the second heap into said unallocated region according to a second expansion policy.

Claim 15 (currently amended): The method of claim 14, further comprising the steps of:

~~wherein said computer system supports supporting~~ a transaction processing environment, and
wherein said first heap is used for storing objects that are deleted at the end of the current transaction, and said second heap is used for storing objects that persist from one transaction to another.

Claim 16 (currently amended): The method of claim 15 , wherein further comprising ~~resetting~~ the first heap ~~is reset~~ to the same predetermined initial size at the start of each transaction.

Claim 17 (currently amended): The method of claim 16, further comprising returning ~~wherein the system returns~~ an error condition if the second heap has expanded such that it is not possible to reset the first heap to its predetermined initial size.

Claim 18 (currently amended): The method of claim 16, further comprising defining
~~wherein~~ a midpoint is defined halfway between the first heap and second heap, when they each
~~heap has its have their~~ initial size.

Claim 19 (original): The method of claim 18, wherein the first expansion policy is always to expand into said unallocated region in order to satisfy a storage request.

Claim 20 (original): The method of claim 19, in which the rate of expansion of the first heap into the unallocated region is slower once the first heap has passed said midpoint.

Claim 21 (original): The method of claim 18, wherein the second expansion policy is to expand into said unallocated region in order to satisfy a storage request until said midpoint is reached, whereupon said system preferentially performs a garbage collection to satisfy said request.

Claim 22 (original): The method of claim 21, wherein the second expansion policy further includes trying to shrink the first heap to allow room to expand said second heap in order to satisfy a storage request.

Claim 23 (original): The method of claim 14, wherein said garbage collector performs a compact operation after a garbage collection of the first and second heaps, said compact operation being performed in response to a first set of criteria relevant to the first heap, and a second set of criteria relevant to the second heap.

Claim 24 (currently amended): The method of claim 23, where said second set of criteria are more sensitive to fragmentation than the first set of criteria.

Claim 25 (currently amended): The method of claim 23, further including the steps of shrinking the first and second heaps after compaction, and returning released storage to said unallocated region.

Claim 26 (original): The method of claim 14, further including the step of providing a bit array, having one bit for each possible object location in said portion of storage, said bit indicating whether or not there is an object currently stored at the corresponding object location.

Claim 27 (original): A computer program product comprising program instructions recorded on a storage medium readable by a computer system, said computer system providing an object-based environment and including storage, a contiguous linear portion of which is logically divided into first and second heaps located at opposite ends of the storage portion, with any gap between the two heaps representing an unallocated region of storage, wherein references are permitted from objects on the first heap to objects on the second heap and vice versa, said program instructions causing said computer system to perform the steps of:

operating a garbage collector across both heaps to remove objects that are no longer live;

expanding the first heap into said unallocated region according to a first expansion policy; and

for expanding the second heap into said unallocated region according to a second expansion policy.

Claim 28 (original): The computer program product of claim 27, wherein said computer system supports a transaction processing environment, and said first heap is used for storing objects that are deleted at the end of the current transaction, and said second heap is used for storing objects that persist from one transaction to another.

Claim 29 (original): The computer program product of claim 28, wherein the first heap is reset to the same predetermined initial size at the start of each transaction.

Claim 30 (original): The computer program product of claim 29, wherein the system returns an error condition if the second heap has expanded such that it is not possible to reset the first heap to its predetermined initial size.

Claim 31 (original): The computer program product of claim 29, wherein a midpoint is defined halfway between the first heap and second heap, when they each have their initial size.

Claim 32 (original): The computer program product of claim 31, wherein the first expansion policy is always to expand into said unallocated region in order to satisfy a storage request.

Claim 33 (original): The computer program product of claim 32, in which the rate of expansion of the first heap into the unallocated region is slower once the first heap has passed said midpoint.

Claim 34 (original): The computer program product of claim 31, wherein the second expansion policy is to expand into said unallocated region in order to satisfy a storage request until said midpoint is reached, whereupon said system preferentially performs a garbage collection to satisfy said request.

Claim 35 (original): The computer program product of claim 34, wherein the second expansion policy further includes trying to shrink the first heap to allow room to expand said second heap in order to satisfy a storage request.

Claim 36 (original): The computer program product of claim 27, wherein said garbage collector performs a compact operation after a garbage collection of the first and second heaps, said compact operation being performed in response to a first set of criteria relevant to the first heap, and a second set of criteria relevant to the second heap.

Claim 37 (currently amended): The computer program product of claim 36, where said second set of criteria are more sensitive to fragmentation than the first set of criteria.

Claim 38 (original): The computer program product of claim 36, wherein said program instructions further cause said computer system to perform the step of shrinking the first and second heaps after compaction, and returning released storage to said unallocated region.

Claim 39 (original): The computer program product of claim 27, wherein said program instructions further cause said computer system to perform the step of providing a bit array, having one bit for each possible object location in said portion of storage, said bit indicating whether or not there is an object currently stored at the corresponding object location.